## *Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary*

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|
| 10/687,694 | WILLIAMSON ET AL. | |
| **Examiner** | **Art Unit** | |
| RANDAL D. MORAN | 2435 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Beemnet Dada*.

(2) *Matthew C. Dooley*.

**Status of Application:** *amendment after non-final action*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *21 September 2010*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*N/A*

Claims discussed:
*1 and 2*

Prior art documents discussed:
*Baker (US 6,775,657)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Examiner proposed an amendment to inlcude limitaions of claim 2 into independent claim 1 in view of newly found prior art Baker and prior art rejections to expedite the prosecution. Applicant's representative did not agree to the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Beemnet W Dada/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)